

United States Patent and Trademark Office

JH.P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,344	03/01/2004	Jim R. Lightfoot	04129-00802	9405
26116 7590 01/16/2007 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP			EXAMINER	
717 NORTH H		. • •	FRECH, KARL D	
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, IX	7.5401		2876	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/790,344	LIGHTFOOT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Karl D. Frech	2876			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🔲 🛭	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 🗄						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4) 🛛 (4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[] (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.				
10)🔯 T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>3/1/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)[_] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
(3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Militing of References Cited (RTO 200)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2876

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,4,5,13,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lizotte 6,833,911. Lizotte discloses that maintaining databases of "ballistic fingerprints" in which images of bullets and cartridges covered from crime scenes are well known (col 1 lines 49-63). These databases are used for matching firearms to crime scenes by comparing spent cartridges and/or bullets from a crime scene to the database which contains the image data of previously spent cartridges and/or bullets.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2876

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2,3,6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lizotte 6,833,911. Lizotte discloses that which is seen above. Lizotte does not specifically disclose maintaining at least one spent cartridge casing as in claim 2. However, maintaining the at least one spent cartridge is well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to maintain the at least one spent cartridge to have physical evidence for comparison. Lizotte does not specifically disclose the protective case as in claim 3. Lizotte does not specifically disclose that the database is maintained on an optical disc as in claim 7. However, optical discs such as read only CDs and "jewel" cases for optical discs are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to place the optical disc in a jewel case in order to protect the disc. Optical discs are known to be non-volatile (claim 6) and read only CDs are non-erasable (claim 8). Lizotte does not specifically disclose that the integrity of the databases is protected (claim 9) or that there is human readable information in the database. Password access to databases is old and well known. It is also old and well known to caption images in databases (claims 10,12). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the database with a password to control access to the database and thereby increase the integrity of the database. It would also have been obvious to caption the ballistic fingerprint images with human readable text in order to

allow the human operator to identify the image. Lizotte does not disclose specifically that breech markings are maintained in the database. However, this, too, is old and well known and would have been obvious at the time of Lizotte to maintain breech markings in order to further identify a matching firearm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl D Frech

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876